

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Appendix of A+theism breakdown: Criticism of existing worldviews | 2 |
| The deceptions of scientific leadership | 2 |
| The deceptions of organized religion | 7 |
| Deception and lies from the church | 7 |
| The saints and Mother Theresa | 9 |
| Organized religion is wack..... | 10 |
| Full disproof of “proof for the Bible” | 11 |
| Partly disproof of Islam | 13 |
| Because the bible tells us so..... | 20 |
| Etymology and principle | 21 |
| Extremely cringe stuff about abrahamic religions..... | 23 |
| Christianity vs. Islam | 37 |
| Which religion joke of me did you like best | 38 |

Appendix of A+theism breakdown: Criticism of existing worldviews

The deceptions of scientific leadership

Let's first look at the dogmas of science.

Atheists have a belief system around science. It revolves around the belief that science already understands the nature of the universe. This could easily be dismantled, but atheists usually stop asking at the crucial points.

The worldview of science has brought inhibitions up on the inquiry of existence which was supposed to be the core of scientific endeavor.

The dogmas of science revolve around materialism –

I name the core dogmas

+nature is mechanical

+matter is unconscious

+the laws of nature and their constants are fixed

+the total amount of matter and energy is always the same

+nature is purposeless

+biological hereditary is material

+memories are stored inside your brain as material traces

+your mind is inside your head

+psychic phenomena like telepathy are impossible

+mechanistic medicine is the only kind that works

Credit for those go to Rupert Sheldrake

https://youtu.be/sF03FN37i5w?si=3V32WhQGK3slq_HW

These dogmas are part of the belief system of educated people around the world. Yet hardly any of these dogmas hold up well against scrutiny. In fact some of these dogmas are already pretty much proven wrong, but education keeps teaching them as if they were untouchable.



I think this fabricated belief system is actually being taught for its effective consequences, not for truth apt. Belief holds power, so it's valuable for those in power to shape our beliefs by what's best for them.

If you question these dogmas you get ignored or made out as an idiot even if you have all the evidence and the epistemic reasonability on your side.

- The worldview is based on the principle: “Give us one free miracle and we explain the rest”

The one miracle is the **appearance of all the energy and the laws that govern it.**

Our **conscious existence** still isn't well explained though even with the free miracle.


And the **emergence of life from non life** also is not. Abiogenesis was disproven / can not be replicated in praxis from theory.

- But what makes us so sure that the laws don't evolve?

In fact it has proven to be impossible to explain the beginning of the universe with our best models, leading physicians to believe the laws of nature changed within the development of the universe.

A better way to explain the nature of the universe is with habits.

Constants are not always constant.



The speed of light changed significantly before the science leadership used an trick to deceive us. They defined the speed of light by the speed of light – by itself.

The gravitational constant varied by more than 1.3% in recent years in exact measurements.

The mind is not contained to the head. We probably project out our mind based on our thinking and awareness.

There's a great deal of evidence people can feel someone's gaze from behind when they couldn't notice them in theory.

Let's evaluate some of these dogmas:

I'm not sure science provides the most objective understanding of the world.

In terms of video games, to accurately define every game mechanic and glitch may help to get maximum use of those, but the objective truth is still that its specified processes and data running on a computer system.

Similarly to use science to exhaustingly describe nature may help to make use of its behavior, but the objective truth may still be more that it's an entropically determined system by the wave between possibilities and the determinate. An entropically driven wave between possibilities and the determinate may also explain the emergence of intelligence.

Emergent phenomena are incredibly interesting.

1. Nature is mechanical?

Nature partly appears mechanical. As a computer appears to be a digital device, it's still set in an analogue environment, and saying a computer operates by digital processes doesn't cover the whole truth. Computers analogue hardware can impact performance, data accuracy, sensor inputs, calculation validity and more.

At the base nature allows contradictions and does not behave mechanical at all.

At the very least, though it probably impacts more, it would be ensuring that nature behaves mechanical to begin with, so by saying nature purely behaves mechanical you already left out part of the equation and state you didn't.

2. matter is unconscious?

We don't know if life is mechanical at all. Computers don't exist as digital device only, just because they seem to behave digital.

Matter would be usually unconscious, but at the same time, it's likely that it has the potential for consciousness.

3. the laws of nature and their constants are fixed?

Because science chooses to believe that against their own evidence?

4. the total amount of matter and energy is always the same?

No one has ever even remotely proven that the universes total amount of energy is constant. Since they are the ones claiming the burden of proof lies with them.

5. nature is purposeless?

Since the nature of all self-deciding entities is to express themselves to the universe and experience the universe, purpose can just be to optimize this.

6. biological hereditary is material?

That's not necessarily the whole truth. Processes of live originating could be guided. Those processes could be made more likely due to a preselection of what's possible as the goal of their entropical drive.

7. memories are stored inside your brain as material traces?

Memories by humans are stored in highly abstracted form, and must be recreated on reuse after a longer time. On the spectrum between possibilities and the determinate, older Memories disperse instead of being just determinate. We require good access to the realm of possibilities to recreate old Memories well.

8. your mind is inside your head?

Consciousness in our case reaches from the determined into possibilities. The determined part of it is in our brain and the neuronal states in it, the entropical reaching out to possibilities by analysing probabilities of something possible goes beyond.

9. psychic phenomena like telepathy are impossible?

Some things viewed as "psychic" are possible, explainable, and observable. While lifting things with your mind currently lacks any support, other "phenomenon" like intuition and "mind reading" can be explained as evolutionary instinct and tricks or through biological elements in your brain like microtubules and quantum science.

10. mechanistic medicine is the only kind that works?

It's well known that pharmaceuticals aren't the only factor. From placebo to determination, it's clear that the body can play a role in medicine. This however does *not* mean that magical crystals can heal you.

I won't mention herbal medicine, since it's the basis for modern pharmaceuticals and very obviously works, just not as effectively as engineered medicine. Exceptions prove the rule.

Scientific educational teaching is based on deception to some extent.

The deceptions of organized religion

But not just science leaders deceive their community, religious organisations do also.

Deception and lies from the church

The church prevents knowledge of things like the vatican having been built on a mithraetic temple, **Christmas** being the celebration of mithras days of the invincible sun or, as some say, is the successor of the **pagan holiday Saturnalia**, of which were some Christmas traditions and the Christmas date taken over. **Christ was born in april**, even the gospel hints on that, the hat of the pope is dedicated to mithra, the bible was polytheist, the bible was a epic of gilgamesh knock of, the bible and the canon wasn't decided in nicea at all, nicea was to decide about implementing of fake trinities, psychics who mess around with believers by romes pleasing.

And of course that most of the bible was written **100-300 years after Christ died**, largely by roman tribal agents/tribal engineers.

Also that John the baptist was Jesus religious leader.

Let's look at Christmas real quick – it's a pagan celebration that was rebranded for Christianity without holding any true Christian meaning.

The solstice of Christmas was celebrated by pagans for ages before Christianity, that **Christ was born in April can be shown by Nasa science following back the star of betlehem and Chinese records of the star of betlehem** plus your own gospels hint on it.

The Star of Bethlehem a Comet in 5-BC and the Date of the Birth of Christ

Show affiliations

Humphreys, C. J.

Astronomical and historical evidence suggests that the Star of Bethlehem was a comet which was visible in 5 BCV and described in ancient Chinese records. A comet uniquely fits the description in Matthew of a star which newly appeared, travelled slowly through the sky against the star background and 'stood over' Bethlehem. It is proposed that a remarkable sequence of three astronomical events stimulated the journey of the Magi: the triple conjunction of Saturn and Jupiter in 7 BCV the massing of the three planets Saturn, Jupiter and Mars in 6 BC; and finally the appearance in 5 BC of the 'star of Bethlehem', a comet initially in Capricornus. It is shown that each of these three events would have been rich in significance to the Magi, and the combination would have provided a very clear sign that a mighty new king was about to be born in Israel. The evidence points to Jesus being born in the period 5 BC March 9 - May 4, probably around Passover time: 5 BC April 13-27. Birth in the spring is consistent with the account in Luke that there were shepherds living out in the fields nearby keeping watch over their flock by night. Birth in 5 BC is also consistent with the census of Caesar Augustus. The date of the Nativity presented here enables a new chronology of the life of Christ to be constructed which is consistent with the available evidence.



Publication: Quarterly Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society,
Vol. 32, NO.4/DEC, P.389, 1991

For immoral people get declared saints:

The saints and Mother Theresa

<https://www.rcinet.ca/en/2013/05/07/canadian-study-mother-teresa-not-so-saintly/>

The research team of Professor Serge Larivée, from the Department of Psychoeducation at the University of Montreal, and colleague Geneviève Chénard, along with Carole Sénéchal from the University of Ottawa's Faculty of Education suggest that the Vatican should have taken a closer look at her views, and handling of money.

Echoing earlier work by journalist and author Christopher Hitchens, they say that her image does not stand up to fact, and that beatification was orchestrated by an effective media campaign. The authors say that Mother Teresa's rise to international attention began with an interview on the BBC with the famous Malcolm Muggeridge who shared her anti-abortion views. She quickly realized the power of mass media and used it effectively in promotion.

The Canadian research team collected 502 documents on the life and work of Mother Teresa (Agnes Gonxha), and after eliminating duplicates, were left with 287 documents about the founder of the Order of the Missionaries of Charity (OMC)

They raise questions about "her rather dubious way of caring for the sick, questionable political contacts, her suspicious management of the enormous sums of money she received, and her overly dogmatic views regarding, in particular, abortion, contraception, and divorce."

During her life, she opened over 500 missions welcoming the sick and the poor, but doctors visiting such missions in Calcutta described them more as "homes for the dying". They claimed there was significantly poor hygiene, even unfit conditions, a shortage of real care, inadequate food, and no painkillers.

"There is something beautiful in seeing the poor accept their lot, to suffer it like Christ's Passion. The world gains much from their suffering," was her reply to **criticism**, cites the journalist Christopher Hitchen.

The report suggests that although her foundation raised hundreds of millions of dollars, but was less than generous with it to those in need. During numerous floods in India or following the explosion of a pesticide plant in Bhopal, she offered numerous prayers and medallions of the Virgin Mary but no direct or monetary aid.

Doctors also dispute the miracle that was accredited to Mother Teresa, saying it was their drug treatment that cured a Monica Besra of her abdominal pain caused by an ovarian cyst and tuberculosis.

The Vatican however, was quick to classify it as a miracle. Mother Teresa's popularity was such that she had become untouchable for the population, which had already declared her a saint. "What could be better than beatification followed by canonization of this model to revitalize the Church and inspire the faithful especially at a time when churches are empty and the Roman authority is in decline?" Larivée and his colleagues ask.

The researchers say that Mother Teresa undoubtedly inspired others to humanitarian work which has helped many of the sick and poor around the world but suggest that media coverage of Mother Teresa should have been more rigorous.

Organized religion is wack.

The abrahamic religions have leaders of people who put little boy wiener in their mouths and think they are greater than God, dye society in their colors and suppress society under them, declare immoral people saints and rely on them to solve their problems, use missionaries to further overpopulation and spread illnesses, create a legal vacuum in their rows for members to abuse with pedophilia and violence, dictate interpretation of their scripture they put together in the worst ways, rule that cutting of foreskin is not a body modification which are generally haram by scripture but dressing female like is one because they say so, being gay means you need to get brutally murdered but raping your 3 year old wife every day is halal if she doesn't die from internal bleedings, proclaiming pedophile heavens and more.

Also the statistics about charity contributions of organized religion are fraudulent. I heard organized religion counts donations to themselves as charity contributions from them.

They make members do charity work treating them like slave workers and take the glory, while they do hardly anything, have largely the states pay for their building upkeeps and do hardly anything while horting piles of gold having people starving around them.

Full disproof of “proof for the Bible”

Bible contradictions

Watch this

<https://youtu.be/RB3g6mXLEKk?si=XlklfoknbU0Q80t>

Bible evidence: full debunk/disproving

Me: How can we know the Bible is true? Sure, some of it's historical events seem to have happened, but how does that prove it's supernatural content?

We know that **some of the historical events in the anime Samurai Champloo happened, does that mean the superpowers in it are also real?**

Christian: Christianity is true, because motives of credibility + faith.

Me: Charles Guiteau is a messenger of God?

Christian: what?

Me: **Charles Guiteau - Assassin of President Garfield, claimed his actions were due to divine inspiration. He sacrificed his life for his faith.**

Right it's not like any church fathers were murderers and had an huge live impact by divine inspiration. Oh.... wait

Don't worry, you still have the claim of a refugee who came to Rome to found a cult and a accomplice of him with the same claim as evidence for Christianity.

Not like the world's biggest belief system would need more evidence, right?

It's not like there were any other cult leaders who had themselves and accomplices die due to their beliefs in recent history... oh, wait

The Christian witness argument is basically refuted by the Charles Guiteau thing, and the George Floyd argument:

"reporter: 300 people saw it and the accused policemen. Official: OK, just interview the policemen, no need to bother anyone else. Audience: Everyone applauds"

Aside the Charles Guiteau incident there's also

Jonestown Massacre or Heaven's Gate for example

ItsRaiga: 1- Documentation: wither it is Manuscript evidence that we know is thousands years old, the messages between churches back then where if u grab these messages u will find it match everything we have today, or even sources from non believers as historians, historical evidence and more and more.

2- Archeological findings: Excavation sites and artifacts also provide evidence that many of the events, people, and places mentioned in the Bible really existed, including the Hittite people and the city of Jericho.

3- the bible was written by different ppl (35–40 authors), from different places (Asia and Africa), over 1,500 years yet it tells the same story without contradiction (i know you will reply to this with the video you sent before so if u see a contradiction give us the 2 verses that contradict each others and we can talk about them one at a time), also how the stories are linked cant be done by a human (jordan peterson even talked about the cross refences and he was amazed, im not saying cuz he was amazed then its true, but even non believers look at this book and be amazed)

Me: Aside from the part about being ancient, that means the Simpsons are true. Where is Mt. Springfield? Where is Mr. Burns Nuclear power plant? Where is Apu's Kwik-E-Mart?

ItsRaiga: I'm not sure what you are trying to say

Me: **But Simpsons also** matches reality, has historical events, was written by many authors from different places, tells the same story with no contradiction, and has a bunch of real prophecies...

ItsRaiga: sure Simpsons have had many stuff that matches today's world, but how many did they miss? how many weren't right? even the authors said they are making guesses and they are right about many cuz they are mathematician and very smart people but outside and if u make 1000 guesses u will eventually have one that is right

i wanna hear some "contradiction" not just saying there is...

Me: Well if you make a simpsons church, and **have the simpsons church only pick a subset of all episodes**, and regard those as scripture, then that's not an issue...

Partly disproof of Islam

Early manuscripts

I wonder about what you think Islamic law has to do with Allah's opinion?

It has literally nothing to do with it.

We know that early manuscripts of Islamic scriptures had many errors, they didn't rhyme well and had logical or historical mistakes.

Back in the 7th century when Mohammad lived, people didn't glorify him and weren't devoted to him. Muslim law was made up later, after Mohammad's death.

Conclusions:

- Islam took 150 years to develop.
- The rock inscriptions indicate that popular devotion to Muhammad began in the 8th century after a cult of personality was inaugurated through official declarations beginning with the Dome of the Rock in 692.
- Early Abd Al-Malik road milestone inscriptions don't mention Muhammad or the Shahaddah.
- It was only in the 730s onwards that there is evidence of popular devotion to Muhammad as a prophet and messenger.
- There is a 100 year silence prior to this that indicates that Islam did not exist as a distinct religion until long after the time of Muhammad, which casts doubt on whether he had any part in starting the religion.

22

Sanaa Palimpsest: This is one of the oldest Quranic manuscripts, dating back to the 7th century. It contains a lower text that shows variations from the standard Quranic text. These differences include the transposition of text segments, substitution of phrases with synonyms, and variations in grammatical forms¹.

- **Orthographic Variations:** Early manuscripts often lacked the diacritical marks and vowel signs that are present in later versions. This could lead to different readings and interpretations of the text¹.
- **Rhyming Patterns:** Some early manuscripts show variations in the rhyming patterns of the verses. For instance, certain parts that are expected to rhyme in the standardized text do not rhyme in these early versions. This suggests that the text may have undergone stylistic modifications over time to achieve a more consistent rhyme scheme¹.
- **Corrections and Additions:** Scholars like Daniel Alan Brubaker have documented numerous corrections in early Quranic manuscripts. These corrections include additions, deletions, and alterations made by scribes to align the text with the emerging standard version².

I know Muslims like to tell fantasy stories that Mohammad perfectly memorized the words an angel told him in a cave and his scribes perfectly recorded those words.

Fact is the early Islamic scriptures were very different and changed over time, and were probably crafted by cult members to keep the people together that warlord Mohammad subdued, with little to nothing of Mohammad's influence on the manuscripts.

Muslim: The Quran is never changing and Allah's eternal world, that angel Gabriel told to Mohammad and his scribes recorded in the eternal perfect Quran.

Archeologists: We found tons of early manuscripts of the Quran in Mohammads lifetime. They massively differ from today's version, they often don't rhyme and have historical errors that were later changed. Many parts were deleted or added.

Muslim: Let's ignore that.

Muslim: The Quran is never changing and Allah's eternal world, that angel Gabriel told to Mohammad and his scribes recorded in the eternal perfect Quran.

About the Quran revealing and reciting

Give me one reason why it's more plausible to believe Mohammad's Quran got revealed to him by an angel in a cave than it is to believe Aristoteles or Platos philosophies got revealed to them during a walk by the magical pony-unicorn Twilight Sparkle?

After all it seems Mohammad took more than 14 years to recite the Quran? What was up with God and Gabriel? Was their internet unstable?

Muslim: A warlord who wanted to take over and subdue the continent went in a cave. He met angel Gabriel and were told the one and only eternal truth from God and became a Prophet. Then his scribes recorded his eternal, unchanging message.

Unbeliever: Archeologists have found early manuscripts that massively differ from today's ones. The early ones don't rhyme, they have historical errors that were later changed and parts were deleted and added. Doesn't that show that while Muhammad's war was going on and more areas got subdued, they started a cult and crafted scripture that changed over time that they wanted to use to soothe and stabilize the civilization?

Muslim: No not at all. Tons of scholars who wanted to strengthen believe in Islam tried to use numerology to find evidence that the Quran is right and found the Code 19 miracle by numerology. Nevermind that mathematics says you can pretty much always make up evidence with numerology for whatever you want, and stop being braindead. The obvious truth is that the guy who wanted to take over the continent met an angel in a cave who told him Allah's eternal truth, not that the Islamic scriptures got crafted later by a group under Mohammad to soothe the people he subdued as warlord, like the early manuscripts or the Abd AL Malik and Dome of the Rock inscriptions suggest.

The Rise of the North Arabic script and its Kur'anic development, with a full description of the Kur'an manuscripts in the Oriental Institute (1939, University of Chicago Press)

<https://isac.uchicago.edu/sites/default/files/uploads/shared/docs/oip50.pdf>

It seems only 4 people had memorized the Quran in Mohammad's time, after all he wasn't popular back then

Revisiting the Collection and Transmission History of the Qur'an
Towards a New Inquiry


I. Background: Some Nagging Questions

13. Only Four Memorizers of the Qur'an in Prophetic Times

حدثنا معلى بن أسد حدثنا عبد الله بن المثني قال
حدثني ثابت البناني وثمامة عن أنس بن مالك قال مات
النبي ولم يجمع القرآن غير أربعة أبو الدرداء ومعاذ بن
جبل وزيد بن ثابت وأبو زيد قال ونحن ورثناه

Thabit and Thumamah report from Anas: "The Prophet (sws) died whilst none except four people had collected the Qur'an: Abu al-Darda', Mu'adh ibn Jabal, Zayd ibn Thabit and Abu Zayd and we were his heirs."

COLLECTED = MEMORIZED



Al-Bukhari, Al-Jami' al-sahih,
vol. 4, 1913, (no. 4718)

The Uthman dilemma

Foundational Claims:

Muslims Claim:

Uthman compiled perfect 114 surahs

All other versions destroyed

Perfect preservation guaranteed

Historical Evidence Against:

A) Ibn Mas'ud's Quran (111 Surahs):

Sources:

Sahih Bukhari 6:61:521

Sahih Muslim Book 31, Number 6022

Excluded:

Al-Fatihah

Al-Falaq

An-Nas

Critical because:

Mohammed said "Learn Quran from four, including Ibn Mas'ud"

B) Ubay ibn Ka'b's Quran (116 Surahs):

Sources:

Ibn Abu Dawud, Kitab al-Masahif

Added:

Al-Hafd

Al-Khal

Critical because:

Also endorsed by Mohammed

Logical Dilemma:

Either:

A) Ibn Mas'ud wrong (111)

But Prophet endorsed him

B) Ubay wrong (116)

But Prophet endorsed him

C) Uthman wrong (114)

But claimed perfect

D) All correct

Logically impossible

Supporting Evidence:

Different Centers Had Different Versions:

Kufa

Basra

Damascus

Sources:

Al-Tabari's History

Ibn Hajar's Fath al-Bari

Mathematical Problem:

If 114 is correct:

$111 \neq 114 \neq 116$

Yet all from:

Companion sources

Direct transmission

Prophet's endorsement

Historical Documentation:

Early manuscripts show:

Different verse counts

Different arrangements

Different readings


Sources:

Sana'a manuscript

Early codices

Regional variants

Because the bible tells us so






There is no actual proof for the bible being the word of God – faith as per the bible is based on circular logic - deception. Christians avoid learning about the historic backgrounds of their scripture. That is, of ancient semites influenced by the stories of the epic of gilgamesh coming up with stuff while being on psychedelic 'shrooms. The bible was largely polytheist originally, thats the historical consensus.

On the gospels, hardly any of them are from apostles, most of them were written 300 years later by roman tribal engineers loosely based on apostolic Jewish culture.

The scriptures may have some validity based on things that were actually highly inspired, but other parts of it are mere nonsense.

Etymology and principle





Extremely cringe stuff about abrahamic religions

Judaism: Kill all Christians, Deceive non-Jews or enslave them, boil Jesus in excrements, burn witches and murder homosexuals and eat your children

- Talmud: Yebamoth 98a,

“all gentile (non-jew) children are animals”

- Talmud: Sanhedrin 57a,

“when a jew murders a gentile (non-jew), there will be no death penalty”

- Talmud: Kethuborh 11b,

“a man who engaged in intercourse with a girl less than 3 years of age has done nothing”

- Talmud: Shabbatg 116a,

“jews must destroy books of the Christians. (referring to the new testament)”

- Talmud: Baba Mezia 114b,

“the gentiles (non-jews) are not human they are beasts.”

- Talmud: Zohar. Toldoth Noah 63b,

“when the messiah comes every jew will have 2800 slaves”

- Talmud: Gitten 57a,

“Jesus is in hell being boiled in hot excrement”

- Talmud: Baba Kamma 113a,

“jews may use lies (called subterfuges) to circumvent the gentiles (non-jews)”

- Talmud: Sanhedrin 57a,

“what a jew steals from a gentile (non-jew) he may keep”

- Talmud: Sanhedrin 58b

“if a gentile (non-jew) hits a jew he must be killed”


- Talmud: Yakut 245c

“extermination of the Christians is a necessary sacrifice”

- Talmud 1160a

“jews must always try to deceive Christians”

A Jew whilst reading his holy book, the Talmud...





“Most Zionists don't believe that God exists, but they do believe that he promised them Palestine.”

- Ilan Pappé
(Israeli historian)



Deuteronomy 28:53 from the Torah in Masoretic Text Jewish translation to English please

The verse Deuteronomy 28:53 in the Jewish Publication Society (JPS) translation, which is based on the Masoretic Text, reads as follows:

"And you shall eat the fruit of your womb, the flesh of your sons and daughters whom the Lord your God has given you, in the siege and in the distress with which your enemies shall distress you."

Christianity: Also the eat your children, burn witches, murder homosexuals from the Torah, and slavery is fine

- **The witch hunt**



<https://daily.jstor.org/sex-and-the-single-witch/>

https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1057/978-1-137-53312-8_7

Quote: "The Inquisition Chambers were notorious for their use of torture to extract confessions from accused heretics. These chambers **were often located in church buildings** and were specifically designed for this purpose. Additionally, some monasteries and medieval castles also had torture chambers where prisoners faced severe punishment."

Endquote

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Torture_chamber

https://www.academia.edu/44600286/Monastic_Prisons_and_Torture_Chambers

<https://medievaltorturemuseum.com/blog/famous-torture-chambers-of-the-middle-ages/>

- **Denominations**

The more similar a denomination is to one's own, the more likely it is for theists to hate one another. Protestants have no issues with Buddhists, but they hate protestants from other denominations, and even have murdered them before. There are around 41000 Christian denominations.

Thus atheists and Buddhists go to hell and my Christian denomination goes to heaven for sure.

Many denominations like Catholicism officially claim in documents that all other denominations go to hell.

Christians: There are only 4-5 Christian denominations, just because one has a slightly different flavor of protestant church, doesn't make it a different denomination

Also Christians:

Once I saw this guy on a bridge about to jump. I said, "Don't do it!" He said, "Nobody loves me." I said, "God loves you. Do you believe in God?"


He said, "Yes." I said, "Are you a Christian or a Jew?" He said, "A Christian." I said, "Me, too! Protestant or Catholic?" He said, "Protestant." I said, "Me, too! What franchise?" He said,

"Baptist." I said, "Me, too! Northern Baptist or Southern Baptist?" He said, "Northern Baptist." I said, "Me, too! Northern Conservative Baptist or Northern Liberal Baptist?"

He said, "Northern Conservative Baptist." I said, "Me, too! Northern Conservative Baptist Great Lakes Region, or Northern Conservative Baptist Eastern Region?" He said, "Northern Conservative Baptist Great Lakes Region." I said, "Me, too!"

Northern Conservative Baptist Great Lakes Region Council of 1879, or Northern Conservative Baptist Great Lakes Region Council of 1912?" He said, "Northern Conservative Baptist Great Lakes Region Council of 1912." I said, "Die, heretic!" And I pushed him over.

- **Contradictions everywhere**



Watch this

<https://youtu.be/RB3g6mXLEKk?si=XlklfoknbU0Q80t>

- **Christian Missionaries**



- **Deuteronomy 28:53 KJV**

"No, the thing that says you shalt eat your children does not mean you shalt eat your children"

Most popular Bible translation: And thou shalt eat the fruit of thine own body, the flesh of thy sons and of thy daughters, which the Lord thy God hath given thee, in the siege, and in the straitness, wherewith thine enemies shall distress thee



Islam: Take sex slaves of any age, Islamic scholars: Have sex with goats and eat your women instead if they stop praying, or eat people who are smarter than you, when your dogmas dumb you down



Proof?


For Stuff like that it's halal to take prepubertary girls as sex slaves in sharia law, and ra pe them as much as you like since they cannot get pregnant, and kill and eat them if you get hungry

<https://youtu.be/1xZ5-BE0RAk?si=-fSncb3i5THZUtVK>

<https://thaqalayn.net/hadith/11/2/30/44>

- **Cannibalism and sex with goats**





- **Prepubertary sex slaves or wives**



“Sunni” (Shafi’)

This fatwah is from the Islamqa website under the Shafi’ madhab section. They also agree that Quran allows intercourse with a girl who has not reached puberty. The only consent allowed is that of her father and husband. Her consent does not matter.

“ Once the young girl is married, she may move in with her husband and partake in sexual intercourse, whether she reached the age of puberty or not, when the


“Sunni” (Hanafi)

From the famous and most authoritative Fatwa website of “Sunnis”.




<https://thaqalayn.net/hadith/11/2/30/44>


- What do we need hospitals for? Cancer or Shizophrenia? Not a problem, consume some black cum in camel urine



- **Islamic Saint Jeffrey Dahmer**



- **World Map of IQ – we have the right to eat you, because you are smarter**



Created with Datawrapper

<https://worldpopulationreview.com/country-rankings/average-iq-by-country>

You know like how Muslim countries have, even if they have money and expended a lot of effort in education, an average IQ under 80 while non-religious countries have an average IQ up to above 105?

That's just an global conspiracy by the atheist Satanist board, since the only true wisdom comes from the Quran and Mohammad who is infallible! /s

How else would people be able to achieve Mohammads true knowledge, like knowing that all those hospitals that are around are actually meaningless, because if you get any illness at all, like Schizophrenia or cancer, you just need to consume some black cummin, and you are healthy again.

...

Then how can you not see the overly obvious strong correlation of highly religious or naive countries being dumber, and the low religious ones smarter, independent of effort on education offered. (Dubai, IQ 80, and China, IQ 105 expended similar efforts)

Christianity vs. Islam

My theology about burning witches, murdering gays, killing people who work on sabbath and taking slaves and torturing them to death and eating our children is the way to salvation.

No, my theology about murdering or suppressing those who are different, taking prepubertary sex slaves, enslaving all women and beating them up if they have an opinion, and killing and eating non-Muslims if you are hungry, is much greater.

No mine, no mine is..



Holy people of faith

| Who | Faith | Why |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Saint Calvin Candie from Django Unchained | Both Judaism and Christianity | He took a lot of black people as slaves and had them brutally fight for their lives, so he acted in perfect accordance to those faiths. |
| Saint Epstein and Saint Diddy | Islam | They only did sex trafficking of prepubertary girls, something that is perfectly halal in Islam |
| Saint Jeffrey Dahmer | Islam | He did nothing wrong, he only raped children, then killed and ate them, something that is perfectly halal in Islam, as he was hungry and the kids were non-Muslims |

Evil people that need to burn in hell

| Who | Faith | Why |
|--|-------------|--|
| Everyone who is not of catholic denomination, like the 44999 other Christian denominations, or catholics who believe in democracy also | Catholicism | If the Christians are not catholic, then they don't submit to the pope as their dictator of their belief and when they are democratic then they aren't Christians as Christianity isn't democratic |
| Everyone who isn't Jewish | Judaism | Only Jews deserve heaven, everyone else should get enslaved by them and then burn in hell |

Which religion joke of me did you like best

- A: Commandment of the 34

- B: Cathole-ism
- C: Cathole-IC's <https://www.instructables.com/How-I-Made-an-Ai-to-Watch-My-Cats-Poop-for-Me/>
- D: Don't use chatgpt to inform about torture by the church!... Should have used mypr0nbible webpage for information on bo ndage event ideas
- E: No female pastor pls! Why? Maybe she introduced to religious service joking about the church's past, with "this is the hook, this is the jaw breaker, here is the castrator, and here we have the rack..."
- F: How is gardening sin? Yeah It's the unholy triad of sin of Christianity. Being small, wearing mixed fabrics, and planting 2 different kinds of seeds in your field
- G: Jeffrey Dahmer, peace be upon him, the purest, kindest & sweetest according to Islamic law.
- H: why be atheist? You could also be batheist, catheist, datheist, eatheist, fatheist, gatheist, hatheist..

Atheism

«The belief there was once absolutely nothing. And nothing happened to the nothing until the nothing magically exploded (for no reason), creating everything and everywhere. Then a bunch of the exploded everything magically rearranged itself (for no reason whatsoever), into self-replicating bits which then turned into dinosaurs.»

And they mock your beliefs!

Christianity ;

The popular belief that a celestial Jewish baby who is also his own father, born from a virgin mother, died for three days so that he could ascend to heaven on a cloud and then make you live forever only if you symbolically eat his flesh, drink his blood and telepathically tell him you accept him as your lord & master so he can remove an evil force from your spiritual being that is present in all humanity because an immoral woman made from a man's rib was hoodwinked by a talking reptile possessed by an malicious angel to secretly eat forbidden fruit from a magical tree.

Sounds perfectly plausible...

Islam

The popular belief that a future warlord who wanted to take over the continent descended into a cave where an angel appeared to him telling him God's real truth and became a prophet. The future warlord who marries a 6 year old girl and allows underage sex slaves and wife's of any age which you can force to have sex with you as much as you like. According to him homosexuals, apostates, blasphemers, adulterers and others need to get murdered. Women can be beaten up by their husband's and have to obey them. The warlord subdued, force converted and made vassals out of groups who previously saved him, protected and helped him. Mohammed split the moon by looking at it and can control the universe.

According to Muslims, Islam is absolutely proven and evidential according to Mohammad's „honest nature“ and he was the most perfect, moral man ever. Women have more rights in Islam than anywhere else, it's just our imagination that they seem to get reduced to sex slaves who have to be fully obedient.

Religious teaching is based on deception like scientific worldview education.